

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/671,731	09/29/2003	Takahiro Imada	K-1970DIV	6740		
	7590 03/14/2003 BERNER AND PARTN	EXAM	EXAMINER			
1700 DIAGONAL RD SUITE 310 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2848			MARX,	MARX, IRENE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1651				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/14/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/671,731	IMADA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Irene Marx	1651		

	Irene Marx	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aban ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	idonment of this appli which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing t	the Notice of
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NC		ccause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• '	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: see attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4	1.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	•		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•		-
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)[the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		e entered and an exp	lanation of how
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment.</li> </ol>	, , , , ,		nce because:
<ul><li>2.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>3.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
		Irene Marx Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651	

Application/Control Number: 10/671,731

Art Unit: 1651

Note:

The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search at least with respect to the amendment to claim 4 to delete "and which infects said plant"; the amendment in claim 5 to read "said grass includes a seed extracted from adult grass into which..."; the amendment to claim 6 to read "wherein said grass comprises an adult grown from a seed of the grass into which ..."; and the amendment to claim 7 to read "wherein said grass is a hybrid grass having and adult or a seed thereof into which the symbiotic fungus is artificially introduced as the parent", including new issues under 35 U.S.C § 112 and of new matter.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

The arguments pertain to claims that are not entered, and are therefore not persuasive.

As to the product by process aspect, it is noted that claim 1 of record is directed to "a plant comprising a symbiotic fungus "which is artificially introduced and which infects said plant". The process aspect is introduction and infection. The plant is the same whether the fungus is artificially introduced or is naturally present, for example.

Therefore the rejection is deemed proper and it is adhered to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (571) 272-0919. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Irene Marx Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1651